1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		NOTEDICT COLUDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CI OF CALIFORNIA
11	IOAOUDI MUDDIETTA MADTDIEZ	O' 'IN 14 1022 I AD (DIN)
12	JOAQUIN MURRIETTA MARTINEZ, Petitioner,	Civil No. 14-1832 LAB (BLM)
13	i chiloner,	ORDER: (1) CONSTRUING PETITION [ECF No. 1] AS A MOTION TO AMEND IN CASE
14	v.	MOTION TO AMEND IN CASE No. 13CV1457 BTM (WVG);
15	'.	(2) DIRECTING CLERK TO FILE
16	CAPT. PENA,	PETITION [ECF No. 1] AS A MOTION TO AMEND IN CASE
17	,	MOTION TO AMEND IN CASE No. 13CV1457 BTM (WVG) AND TO CLOSE THIS CASE; and
18	Respondent.	(3) DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
19		[ECF No. 2]AS MOOT
20	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceedi	ng pro se, has filed a Petition for Writ of
21 22	Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 together with a motion to proceed in forma	
23	pauperis. In his petition, Petitioner challenges his May 10, 2010 conviction in San Diego	
24	Superior Court case number 246872. (See Pet. at 1, ECF No. 1.)	
25	Petitioner is already challenging his May 10, 2010 conviction in San Diego	
	Superior Court aggs number 246972 in this Court in aggs number 12 av 1457 DTM	

Superior Court case number 246872 in this Court in case number 13cv1457 BTM (WVG). (See So. Cal. Dist. Ct. Case No. 13cv1457 BTM (WVG), ECF No 1.) In that case, a Report and Recommendation was filed on May 20, 2014, and it is currently

26

27

28

before the District Judge assigned to the case for disposition on the Report and Recommendation. (See ECF No. 33.) In Woods v. Carev, 525 F.3d 886 (9th Cir. 2008), the Ninth Circuit stated that "where a new *pro se* petition is filed before the adjudication of a prior petition is complete, the new petition should be construed as a motion to 4 amend the pending petition rather than a successive application." *Id.* at 888. 5 **CONCLUSION** 6 Accordingly, the Court **CONSTRUES** the petition in this case [ECF No. 1] as a motion to amend the petition in case no. 13cv1457 BTM (WVG) and DIRECTS the 8 Clerk of Court to file the petition in this case [ECF No. 1] as a motion to amend in case no. 13cv1457. The Clerk of Court is also **DIRECTED** to close this case. The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 15, 2014

HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS United States District Judge

Cam A. Bum

26

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 28